

> What you have stated is what you have summed up from
> the Forum as a whole - your assessment of the Forum's view and I think
> from the chair you are entitled to make that assessment. It was always
> going to be a knife-edge decision: members of the Forum were always
> pressing for improvements and we didn't get all we asked for, but we
> certainly got numerous changes in the Revision to the application and
> the Conditions and the Heads of Agreement for section 106 agreement also
> cover many issues we expressed our views on. So you have to come down on
> one side or the other. What do we want to happen? Do we want the
> application refused and no development to take place? Of course not. Do
> we think if a refusal were given by Camden Argent would revise their
> application further and come back with a package they liked better ?
> For more probable that they would appeal and have their application
> granted by the ODPM with the details of section 106 not necessarily as
> good as Camden would achieve if they agree to the application. So it's
> self defeating to oppose what you said in the responses to the two periods of
> consultation. I am happy to hold your line.
> Geoffrey
>