

From: "Diana Shelley" <diana.shelley@btinternet.com>
Date: 27 February 2006 22:14:54 GMT
To: "Richard Lee" <richard@lee1984.fsnet.co.uk>, "Una Sapietis" <una@eventorganiser.freemove.co.uk>, "Michael Edwards" <m.edwards@ucl.ac.uk>, <hermantribelnig@yahoo.co.uk>, <ablackwood@vac.org.uk>, "Chris Gidden" <kxrlg@crag.co.uk>, "Stuart Cottis" <stuart.cottis@btopenworld.com>, <teresa.hoskyns@virgin.net>, "Helen Rahman" <H.Rahman@comicrelief.org.uk>
Subject: RE: KXDF letter

Thanks to Richard for this. Not being an MC member (but the other occasional worker) it's not actually my place to say this—but I don't think you've overstepped the mark at all, Richard. This is absolutely the right thing to do. And if it has no effect I think we must find some way to publicise it.

No time for any more, but go for it!

Best wishes,
Diana

-----Original Message-----

From: Richard Lee [mailto:richard@lee1984.fsnet.co.uk]
Sent: 27 February 2006 21:48
To: Una Sapietis; Michael Edwards; hermantribelnig@yahoo.co.uk; ablackwood@vac.org.uk; Chris Gidden; Stuart Cottis; teresa.hoskyns@virgin.net; Helen Rahman; Diana Shelley
Subject: Re: KXDF letter

Dear All,

Surely we should challenge Satnam Gill's capitulation. The Development Forum in November considered fully the results of the consultation, took into account the voting mechanisms and decided the Forum could not support or reject the application. This is set out in the extract below. I fail to see how Satnam can now do his sums differently and seek to overturn the considered view of the members of the KXDF.

I would suggest that Una as a member of CC sends an urgent message to all members of the Co-ordinating committee insisting that they uphold the position taken last November. Further that if the majority of the CC want to see a

change in that position then there must be an emergency meeting of the KXDF to properly debate this. Any unilateral action by a few members of the KXDF undermines the whole community and this needs to be brought home forcefully to the CC members.

The KXDF response to the revised application reads:

"In principle the Forum strongly supports regeneration which seeks to ensure that the Kings Cross Central site plays a pivotal role in the revitalization of the wider Kings Cross area and surrounding communities. The Forum welcomed in principle the redevelopment of the Kings Cross Central site but, despite subsequent amendments resulting in the current application, is still not in a position either to welcome or reject the current outline application as a whole. As the responses show there is overwhelming support for some proposals and equally strong objections to others. A number of issues show mixed views. The Forum believes the application still needs further revision and that more information should be provided (and particularly on securing mechanisms for monitoring, community facilities and involvement) before the application can be determined by the planning authorities. Central to the responses is a belief that surrounding communities (some of whom may eventually form part of the new community on the site) have easy and direct access to all that the new development has to offer, that local communities benefit from the development, and that existing facilities are improved and new ones provided to meet the demands of the populations that will visit, live on, work or play within the site. "

Sorry if anyone feels I'm overstepping the mark (as a worker) but I feel very angry about this.

Richard

----- Original Message -----

From: Una Sapietis

To: Richard Lee ; Michael Edwards ; hermantribelnig@yahoo.co.uk ;
ablackwood@vac.org.uk ; Chris Gidden ; Stuart Cottis ;
teresa.hoskyns@virgin.net ; Helen Rahman ; Diana Shelley
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2006 7:45 PM
Subject: Fw: Results of Consultation with the attachment this time

KXDF supports the application! Surprise Suprpsie. The way the consultation was done, how can we dispute the? Quote low turnout?

----- Original Message -----

From: Satnam Gill
To: 'Wrlehm@aol.com' ; 'abshai@hotmail.com' ; 'angela.ryan@camden.gov.uk' ;
artin@kings-cross.org.uk ; Philip Wain and Lisa Tang ; HUGHLAKE@aol.com ;
jamesg@kings-cross.org.uk ; 'kcbna@aol.com' ; 'kxteam@islington.gov.uk' ;
mohamed@cccu.org.uk ; mukid@cccu.org.uk ; 'Nasim.ali@camden.gov.uk' ;
'ponderyonder@blueyonder.co.uk' ; 'Richard.Kirby@camden.gov.uk' ; Satnam Gill ;
secretary@regentsnetwork.org ; stephen@cyproject.co.uk ;
'una@eventorganiser.freeserve.co.uk'
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2006 12:17 PM
Subject: Results of Consultation with the attachment this time

<<KXDF (3) LBC Development Sub Control Committee 270206.doc>>

Dear colleagues,

I have spent some time going over the responses at the weekend and in the consultation there was a lot more support for the development. Than was implied last week I have put together all those activities that were supported by more than 66% of the respondents and all those that were opposed by any sort of majority (such as 38% opposed to 32% in favour)

I attach it before I finalise my letter to development control

Satnam

PRIVACY & CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The Working Men's College for Women and Men is the oldest Adult Education

College in Europe and has been providing a second chance for learners who have missed out on their education since 1854.

Working Men's College's computer systems may be monitored and communications carried on them recorded, to secure the effective operation of the system and for other lawful purposes. This document is intended for, and should only be read by, those persons to whom it is addressed. Its contents are confidential and if you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone or e-mail and delete all records of the message from your computer. Any form of reproduction, dissemination, copying, disclosure, modification, distribution or publication of this message without our prior written consent is strictly prohibited. Neither the author of this message nor their employers accept legal responsibility for the contents of the message. Any views or opinions presented are solely those of the author.

Satnam Gill

Principal

Working Men's College

44 Crowndale Road London

NW1 1TR

0044 2072554700

visit the website on: wmcollege.ac.uk